

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,029	07/17/2003	Karthigesu Sivacumarran	927-26-033	4460		
23935 75	90 03/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	COBS, PATRICK &	HODGES, MATTHEW P				
555 ST. CHARI SUITE 107	LES DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	AKS, CA 91360		2879 DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	m Na	Annliagnation			
	Applicatio		Applicant(s)	Ra		
Office Action Summary	10/623,029	9	SIVACUMARRAN	(N)		
omce Action Guillinary	Examiner		Art Unit			
THE MAILING BATT CALL	Matt P. Ho		2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statur od will apply and will ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is no	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except f	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,4,6,10-14,18,21 and 22 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2,3,5,7-9,15-17,19,20 and 23-28 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election re	quirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a	a) accepted	or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Not	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ints have beer ints have beer iority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te) 152\		
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2003.	•,	6) Other:	аселі Арріісацол (РТС	љ (94)		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,029

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Application/Control Number: 10/623,029

Art Unit: 2879

conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claim 10 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claim 11 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board. Further it is common to include electrical pathways on a printed circuit board.

Claim 12 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claim 13 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Art Unit: 2879

conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claim 14 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because conductive pathways are commonly found on both sides of a circuit board and lamp terminals are often formed through the board affixed by a solder to both sides of the board.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chliwnyj et al. (US 5,924,784).

Regarding claim 18, Chliwnyj discloses (see figure 5) a lamp assembly including a circuit board (63) including conductive pathways and lamps in the form of diodes formed on both sides of the circuit board. Further the circuit board is connected to the power source at the bottom portion of the circuit board. Each of the diode elements is independently operable through the circuit wiring. (Column 13 lines 35-51).

Regarding claims 21 and 22, Chliwnyj further discloses the alternative use of LED lamps connected to the board by terminal wires. These wires would be soldered to the board as is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 5, 7-9, 15-17, 19, 20, and 23-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 2, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 2, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the lamp is mounted symmetrically with regard to the mid plane of the board.

Regarding claim 3, claim 3 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 2 because of its dependency status from claim 2.

Regarding claim 5, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 5, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for

Application/Control Number: 10/623,029

Art Unit: 2879

electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the lamps are mounted symmetrically with regard to the central axis of the board.

Regarding claim 7, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 7, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the lamps are independently operable and connected to different combinations of the pathways.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, claims 8 and 9 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 7 because of their dependency status from claim 7.

Regarding claim 15, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 15, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the circuit board is symmetrically with regard to the horizontal axis.

Regarding claim 16, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 16, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the conductive portions are applied to both opposite faces of the board with connections there between through the board.

Regarding claim 17, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 17, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at least one lamp fixed on one end with terminals connected to both sides of the board and electrical terminals on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further assembly is used as a press button.

Regarding claim 19, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 19, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further at least one lamp is connected to a conductive portion unique to that lamp.

Regarding claim 20, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 20, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further there are two independently operable lamps connected to the three conductive portions.

Regarding claim 23, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 23, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge

of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the circuit board includes circuitry to permit connection to the lamp holder with different polarizations.

Regarding claim 24, claim 24 is allowable for the reasons given in claim 23 because of its dependency status from claim 23.

Regarding claim 25, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 25, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the circuit board is rectangular in shape with the lamp projecting at one end and the conductive portions at the opposite end.

Regarding claim 26, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 26, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the circuit board is generally symmetrical about a horizontal axis.

Regarding claim 27, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 27, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge

Art Unit: 2879

of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further the conductive portions are applied to both sides of the board with connection there between through the board.

Regarding claim 28, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 28, and specifically comprising the limitation a lamp assembly including a circuit board with at lamps affixed to the board and connected to different pathways leading to different combinations of conductive portions formed on one edge of the board for electrically connecting the board to the lamp holder. Further assembly is used as a press button.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Leong (US 6,762,562) discloses the use of a large array of diodes on a circuit board for use as a retrofit lamp.

Zhang et al. (US 6,737,811) discloses the use of several diode elements dynamically controlled on a lamp assembly.

Line (US 2004/0207334) discloses the use of a diode element formed on the edge of a circuit board that further connects to the lamp holder.

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

JOSEPH WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER